

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address Commission NERO FLATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Why of the Second Commission Office of the C

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTRACT TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	
09 647,479	09 29 2000			CONFIRMATION NO.	
	0/2/2000	Barry Huston Meyrick	PM-271586 SM	7070	
909 75	590 04 17 2002				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP					
P.O. BOX 10500			EXAMINER		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			SHOSHO, CALLIE E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714	Z	
			DATE MAILED: 04 17 2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
			9/647,479	MEYRICK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	/ Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
		Ca	illie E. Shosho	1714	
Period fo		nunication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHO THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMM issions of time may be available under the providual (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this operiod for reply specified above is less than this	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) communication. rty (30) days, a reply withi im statutory period will api reply will, by statute, caus inths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may n the statutory minimum of the ply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U S.C. § 133)	
1)[Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>08 April</u>	<u>2002</u> .		
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the pon of Claims			atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)[•	Claim(s) <u>3-8,11 and 12</u> is/are pe	ending in the applic	cation.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[•	Claim(s) <u>3-8,11 and 12</u> is/are rej	ected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to) .			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or ele	ction requirement.		
Application	on Papers				
9)[] T	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.			
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a)∏ accepted o	or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any	objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a	a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are	e required in reply to	this Office action.		
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examir	ner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None o	of:			
	1. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents hav	ve been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest and Community ee the attached detailed Office and Community 	ernational Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim	m for domestic prid	ority under 35 U.S.C	S. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	
	☐ The translation of the foreign cknowledgment is made of a claim				
\ttachment((s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev		Office Action S	Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application Number: 09/647,479

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

1. All outstanding rejections except for those described below are overcome by applicants' amendment filed 4/8/02.

In light of the new grounds of rejection as set forth in paragraph 4 below, the finality of the previous office action mailed 1/14/02 is withdrawn, and the following action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 3, 5-8, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 732381, alone, or alternatively, in view of Lent et al. (U.S. 5,837,042).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 5 of the office action mailed 8/8/01, Paper No. 4, and is incorporated here by reference.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 732381 alone, or alternatively, in view of Lent et al. as applied to claims 3, 5-8, and 11-13 above, and further in view of Suzuki et al. (U.S. 6,153,001).

The difference between EP 732381 alone, or alternatively, in view of Lent et al. and the present claimed invention is the requirement in the claims of benzyl alcohol.

Suzuki et al., which is drawn to ink jet ink, disclose the use of benzyl alcohol in addition to the use of water-soluble organic solvent such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone as disclosed by EP

Application Number: 09/647,479

Art Unit: 1714

732381, in order to produce an ink with suitable drying time that produces clear images (col.12, lines 30-31 and 48-62).

In light of the motivation for using benzyl alcohol disclosed by Suzuki et al. as described above, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such solvent in the ink of EP 732381 in order to produce an ink with suitable drying time that produces clear images, and thereby arrive at the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicants' arguments regarding Knable et al. (U.S.4,532,276) and Batlaw et al. (U.S. 5,429,841) have been fully considered but are moot in view of the discontinuation of these references against the present claims.
- 6. Applicants' arguments filed 4/8/02 have been fully considered but with the exception of arguments relating to Knable et al. and Batlaw et al., they are not persuasive.

Specifically, applicants argue that:

EP 732381 discloses crosslinked urethane polymers not non-crosslinked urethane as presently claimed.

It is noted that the present claims require a water-dissipatible polyurethane <u>not</u> a non-crosslinked polyurethane. While EP 732381 discloses the use of crosslinked polyurethane, it is noted that page 5, lines 34-35 of EP 732381 discloses that the crosslinked polyurethane is in the form of an aqueous dispersion, i.e. the polyurethane is in fact water-dissipatible as presently

claimed. Additionally, page 9, lines 7-8 of EP 732781 discloses that solvents are used in order to improve the dispersability of the aqueous phase.

Thus, it is clear that although EP 732381 discloses crosslinked polyurethane, EP 732781 still meets the claimed limitation that the polyurethane is water-dissipatible.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 703-305-0208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Callie E. Shosho Examiner

Art Unit 1714

Callie Shosho April 15, 2002

Elle Filosh,